

SS-MODULES

HATAMYAHYAKHALAF

Department of Mathematics, University of Baghdad, College of Education, Ibn AL-Hythiam, Iraq

ABSTRACT

Let R be a commutative ring with identity and let M be a unitary R -module. In this paper, we introduce the concept of SS-Modules some properties and characterizations of SS-Modules are given. Also, various basic results about SS-Modules and regular modules are considered.

KEYWORDS: SS-Modules, Finitely Generated Module, Regular Ring and Regular Module

1. INTRODUCTION

Every ring considered in this paper will be assumed to be commutative with identity and every module is unitary. We introduce the following :- An R -module M is called SS-Module if and only if $\text{ann}_R M$ is a semimaximal ideal of R , where $\text{ann}_R M = \{r: r \in R \text{ and } Rm = 0 \text{ for all } m \in M\}$, [1].

Our concern in this paper is to study SS-Module and look for any relation between SS-Module and certain type of well-known modules specially with semiprime modules.

This paper consists of two sections. Our main concern in section one, is to define and study SS-Modules, and we give some characterizations for this concept. In section two, we study the relation between SS-Modules and regular modules.

2. SS-MODULES

Definition (2.1)

A non-zero R -module is called SS-Module if and only if $\text{ann}_R M$ is semimaximal ideal of R .

Remarks and Examples (2.2)

(1) Every maximal ideal is semimaximal ideal, but the converse is not true in general, for example: $6Z$ are a semimaximal ideal of a ring Z which is not maximal, see [2].

(2) Z_6 as a Z -module is SS-Module, since $\text{ann}_Z(Z_6) = 6Z$ is semimaximal of Z .

(3) Z_{10} as a Z -module is SS-Module, since $\text{ann}_Z(Z_{10}) = 10Z$ is semimaximal of Z .

(4) Consider $M = \bigoplus_p PZ_p$ as a Z -module is not SS-Module. In fact $\text{ann}(\bigoplus_p PZ_p) = \bigcap_p (\text{ann}(Z_p) = \bigcap_p (PZ) = (0)$ and (0) is not semimaximal ideal of Z .

(5) For each positive integer n , the Z -module $Z \oplus Zn$ is not SS-Module, since $\text{ann}_Z(Z \oplus Zn) = (0)$ is not semimaximal ideal of Z .

(6) Z as a Z -module is not SS-Module.

(7) Every submodule of the SS - Module is SS-Module.

Proof

Let N be a non-zero proper submodule of M , to show that $\text{ann}_R N$ is semimaximal ideal of R , since $N \subseteq M$, which implies that $\text{ann}_R M \subseteq \text{ann}_R N$. But $\text{ann}_R(M)$ is SS-Module. Therefore $\text{ann}_R(N)$ is the semimaximal ideal of R by [hatam proposition (1.2.11) p.20].

Hence N is a SS - Module.

Now, we state and prove the following results.

Proposition (2.3)

Z_m as a Z -module is SS-Module if and only if $m = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_n$, where p_i is a distinct prime number, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof

Suppose that Z_m is a SS-Module. Then $\text{ann}_Z Z_m$ is semimaximal ideal of Z , to show that $m = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_n$, where p_i is distinct prime number, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

$\text{ann}_Z Z_m = Mz = \bigcap_{i=1}^n (p_i)Z$, where (p_i) is a maximal ideal of Z , for all $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$.

$= p_1 Z \cap p_2 Z \cap \dots \cap p_n Z$.

$= (p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_n)$. Therefore, $m = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_n$, where p_i is distinct prime number, $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$.

Conversely, if $m = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_n$, where p_i is distinct prime number, $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$.

To show that Z_m is a SS-Module, $\text{ann}_Z Z_m = Mz = (p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_n) Z = p_1 Z \cdot p_2 Z \cdot \dots \cdot p_n Z$

$= (p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_n) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n p_i$.

Hence Z_m is a SS-Module.

The following theorem gives some characterizations for SS-Modules

Theorem (2.4)

Let M be a finitely generated R -module. Then:-

- (1) M is a SS - Module.
- (2) $(\text{ann}_R(M) : A)$ is a semimaximal ideal of R for every ideal of A such that $A \not\subseteq \text{ann}_Z(M)$.
- (3) $(\text{ann}_R(M) : r)$ is the semimaximal ideal of R for every element $r \in R$ such that $r \notin \text{ann}_R(M)$.
- (4) $\text{ann}_R(m)$ is a semimaximal ideal of R , for every non-zero element $m \in M$.

Proof

(1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose that M is SS-Module. Then $\text{ann}_R(M)$ is the semimaximal ideal of R . Assume that A is an ideal of R such that $A \not\subseteq \text{ann}_R(M)$. Since

$\text{ann}_R(M) \subseteq (\text{ann}_R(M) : A)$.

Thus ,by [hatam, propo.(1.2.11), p. 20]

We get $(\text{ann}_R(M): A)$ is a semimaximal ideal of R .

(2) \Rightarrow (3) By taking $A=R$ and from e (2), we get the result.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Let $0 \neq m \in M$. Because $1 \notin \text{ann}_R(m)$, $(\text{ann}_R(m): R)$ is semimaximal by (3). But $(\text{ann}_R(m): R) = \text{ann}_R(m)$, so $\text{ann}_R(m)$ is the semimaximal ideal of R .

(4) \Rightarrow (1) Since M is finitely generated, $M = \sum_{i=1}^n R x_i$, $x_i \in M$. Thus $\text{ann}_R(M) = \bigcap_{x \in M} \text{ann}_R(x)$, by (4), $\text{ann}_R(x)$ is semimaximal ideal of R . Thus $\bigcap_{x \in M} \text{ann}_R(x)$ is the semimaximal ideal of R by [hatam, cor (1.2.15), p. 21]. Therefore $\text{ann}_R(M)$ is semimaximal ideal of R . Hence M is a SS - Module.

The following proposition shows a direct sum of SS-Modules is a SS - Module.

Proposition (2.5)

Let M_1 and M_2 be two R -modules .Then $M_1 \oplus M_2$ is a SS-Modules, then by (remarks and example (2.3) (5)), M_1 and M_2 are SS-Module .

Conversely, assume that M_1 and M_2 are w SS-Modules, let $0 \neq m \in M$, $m = (m_1, m_2)$ and $\text{ann}_R(m) = \text{ann}_R(m_1) \cap \text{ann}_R(m_2)$, since $\text{ann}_R(m_1)$ and $\text{ann}_R(m_2)$ are semimaximal ideals of R . Thus $\text{ann}_R(m_1) \cap \text{ann}_R(m_2)$ is a semimaximal ideal of R [hatam, propo.(1.2.14, p.21)]. Then $\text{ann}_R(m)$ is the semimaximal ideal of R and hence $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ is a SS-Module

So, we have the following application of the above proposition

Corollary (2.6):- $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} M_\alpha$ is a SS-Module for all α .

3. SS-MODULE AND REGULAR MODULES

Proposition (3.1)

If M is a SS-Module, then $\frac{R}{\text{ann}_R(M)}$ is the regular ring.

Proof

Since M is SS-Module, then $\text{ann}_R(M)$ is the semimaximal ideal of R . Thus, by [hatam, Propo. (1.3.1), p. 26], we get $\frac{R}{\text{ann}_R(M)}$ is the regular ring.

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of the proposition (3.1)

Corollary (3.2)

If $0 \neq x$ is an element of an R -module M such that $\text{ann}_R(x)$ is semimaximal ideal of R ,then $\frac{R}{\text{ann}_R(x)}$ is regular ring.

Proof

It is obvious according to the theorem (2.4) and proposition (3.1).

Proposition (3.3)

Let M be a SS-Module. Then M is a regular R - module.

Proof

Let M be SS-Module, $0 \neq x \in M$. then $\text{ann}_R(x)$ is semimax

REFERENCES

1. Larsen, M.D. and Maccar ,P.J.1971 Multiplication Theory of ideal. Academic perss, London, New Yourk.
2. Layla S. M., Ali S. M. andHatam Y. KH. (2007) " Semi maximal submodules" Ph.D University of Baghdad.
3. Layla S.M., ALI.S.M. and Hatam Y.KH. (2015)" SemimaximalSubmodules" International Journal of Advanced Science and Technical ReserchVol (3). pp.(504-516).